UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,920	06/28/2007	Patrick James McNaughton	18333.1.23.1.1	6593
	7590 12/09/200 AL PROPERTY GRO	EXAMINER		
	& BYRON, P.A.	HOGAN, JAMES SEAN		
200 SOUTH SI SUITE 4000	AIH SIKEEI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55402	3752		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		12/09/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application l	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/599,920		MCNAUGHTON, PATRICK JAMES				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			JAMES S. HO		3752			
The N Period for Reply	IAILING DATE of this commu I	nication appe	ears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>13 Oc</i>	tober 2006.					
•	• •		action is non-	final.				
′=	this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is		
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of (	Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(	s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· = `	s) <u>1-10,15,16,29,30 and 32</u> is	s/are reiected	d.					
· <u> </u>	s) <u>11-14,17-28 and 33-48</u> is/s	=						
·	s) are subject to restri	-		irement.				
Application Pag			•					
··· ·								
•	ecification is objected to by the				to be the Ferrica			
<i>,</i> —	awing(s) filed on <u>16 October</u>		•	•— •	•	er.		
	nt may not request that any obje			-		ED 4 4044 IV		
	ement drawing sheet(s) includin	_	-			, ,		
11)∐ The oa	th or declaration is objected t	o by the Exa	aminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	IO-152.		
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
<ul><li>2) Notice of Draf</li><li>3) Information Di</li></ul>	rences Cited (PTO-892) tsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( sclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) lail Date <u>10/13/06</u> .		4) 5) 6)	=	nte			

Art Unit: 3752

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-10, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 3,888,412 to Lindo.
- 3. As per claims 1, 15, Lindo discloses a wiper fluid heater apparatus having a heat exchanger (30) having a wiper fluid inlet (14) and outlet (18) and having a coolant passage (33) traversing through the heat exchanger, the coolant passage with a coolant passage plate (see Figure 6) coupled to an engine's coolant system, a chamber (10) for containing washer fluid in contact with a wiper fluid plate (51), pumping (12) means for transferring fluid from the chamber to a nozzle.
- 4. As per claim 2, the heat exchanger receives fluids directly from the engine block (see Figure 3).
- 5. As per claim 4, the heat exchanger comprises first and second ends.
- 6. As per claim 6, the coolant inlet (in Figure 3) is operably coupled to a heater core inlet and the coolant outlet is operably coupled to a heater core outlet to create a parallel engine coolant flow with the heater core.
- 7. As per claim 8, the heart exchanger of Lindo exhibits fins (52).

Art Unit: 3752

8. As per claim 9 and 10, the wiper fluid outlet (18) is operably connected to the reservoir (10) and the wiper fluid inlet (14) is operably connected to the heat exchanger to input the wiper fluid onto the coolant passages (50), the channels defined by fins that touch an outside wall of the heat exchanger, the fins having an opening (51) between every other fin allowing the wiper fluid to pass to the adjacent channel.

- 9. As per claim16 the coolant passage and wiper fluid plates are held together with fastening means (67).
- 10. Claim 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. U.S. Patent No. 6,364,010 to Richman.
- 11. As per claim 32, Richman et al teaches a heat exchanger (200) having a wiper fluid inlet (A) to allow wiper fluid to enter the heat exchanger and a wiper fluid outlet (b) to allow the wiper fluid to exit the heat exchanger, the heat exchanger having a bypass passage ()see Figure 2); and a coolant passage traversing through the heat exchanger having a coolant inlet (C) and a coolant outlet (D), the coolant inlet and coolant outlet operably coupled to an engine's coolant system (100, 104) to allow passage of engine coolant through the heat exchanger.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 13. Claims 5 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 3,888,412 to Lindo.
- 14. The rejection of claim 1 above serves as the basis for the following.
- 15. As per claim 5, Lindo does not teach the coolant inlet (33) and the wiper fluid outlet (18) being located on a first end and the coolant outlet (40)and wiper fluid inlet are located on the second end to insure coolant flow and washer fluid flow are in opposite directions, however, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have arranged the inlets and outlets in the claimed way, since it has been held the rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. See *In re Japiske*, 86 USPQ 70.
- 16. As per claim 30, the chamber of Lindo is only partially above the heat exchanger, however, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have arranged chamber above the exchanger in the claimed way, since it has been held the rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. See *In re Japiske*, 86 USPQ 70.
- 17. Claims 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 3,888,412 to Lindo in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,133,546 to Bains.
- 18. As per claim 7, Lindo does not teach a thermostat. Bains teaches a thermostat (11) operably coupled to a wiper fluid heater (7) to control when the wiper fluid heater is powered on. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the heater of Lindo with the thermostat of Bains in order to regulate the heat within a fluid heater.

Art Unit: 3752

19. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S.

Patent No. 3,888,412 to Lindo in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,877,186 to Scholl.

20. The rejection of claim 15 above serves as the basis for the following.

21. As per claim 29, Lindo does not feature a check valve on the inlet of the fluid.

Scholl teaches a check valve (12) positioned to prevent fluid from traversing back into a

chamber (10). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to have modified the system of Lindo with the check valve

of Scholl in order to prevent backflow.

Allowable Subject Matter

22. Claims 11-14, 17-28, 33-48 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected

base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

23. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure is as follows.

U.S. Patent No. 5,183,099 to Bechu

U.S. Patent No. 6,669,109 to Ivanov et al

U.S. Patent No. 6,902,118 to Shank et al

U.S. Patent No. 4,090,668 to Kochenour

U.S. Patent No. 4,694,891 to Okumura et al

U.S. Patent No. 4,354,548 to Carlsson

Art Unit: 3752

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES S. HOGAN whose telephone number is (571)272-4902. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 6:00a-3:00p EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on (571)272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. S. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 3752

/Len Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3752